



VELOCITY
S O F T W A R E

Performance Case Studies – Performance Analysis

Barton Robinson,
barton@velocitysoftware.com



Velocity Software Inc.
196-D Castro Street
Mountain View CA 94041
650-964-8867

Velocity Software GmbH
Max-Joseph-Str. 5
D-68167 Mannheim
Germany
+49 (0)621 373844

Flow chart process for Performance Analysis

State sampling and how to use it

Sample Analysis

Case Karl (is java application a problem?)

Case F (Why do I have an Eligible list?)

“z” is:

- Very large,
- Very complex and
- Very well instrumented

The challenge?

- Performance problems are visible,
- “z” applications are often impacted by other applications

What challenge, it is all there!

- 200 zmon panels (with menus)
- 150 zmap reports (with table of contents)
- 5,000 unique variables

The 20 Reports you need in the order you need them

Configuration: ESAHDR

System (z/VM LPAR) Load: ESASSUM

Wait states: ESAXACT

Virtual machine Config: ESAUSRC

CPU:

- **Lpar Configuration: ESALPARS**
- **CPU Consumer: ESAUSP2**
- **Linux Consumer: ESALNXP**

Storage

- **z/VM Requirements: ESASTR1**
- **User Storage: ESAUSPG**
- **Linux Storage: ESAUCD2**
- **VDISK for swap: ESAASPC**

Paging

- **Configuration: ESAPSDV**
- **Loads by user: ESAUSPG**
- **Blocking: ESABLKP**

DASD

- **Configuration: ESADSD1**
- **Rates: ESADSD2**
- **CACHE: ESADSD5**

Network

- **Configuration: ESATCPI**
- **Network management: ESATCP1/2/4**

Analysis starts with “is there a problem?”

- Describe the problem (what user(s), what time)

System Configuration

- Processor model, cpu type
- Number of processors, storage size
- SMT support? (Increased level of complexity)
- Linux using IFLs in mixed mode LPAR?

High level Loads on the subsystems

Wait states for those impacted

Subsystem Analysis

- DASD, Storage, Paging, Processor, Network

Know the configuration: ESAHDR

```
Report: ESAHDR          z/VM Monitor Analysis
Monitor period:        3600 seconds ( 1:00:00)
-----
z/VM Version:  5          Release 4.0 SLU 1002
TOD clock at termination                09:49:16
Abend code of last termination
TOD clock at last IPL:          12/26/10  09:49:40
System Operator:                OPERATOR
Time zone adjustment from GMT:     -7 hours

System Identifier                ZVM2
Checkpoint/Warmstart Volumes     V2RES1/V2RES1
Machine Model/Type               z10E:2097/710
System Sequence Code             00000000000D2655
Processor  0 model/serial        2097-710 /072655 Mast
Processor  1 model/serial        2097-710 /072655
Processor  2 model/serial        2097-710 /072655
Processor  3 model/serial        2097-710 /072655
Processor  4 model/serial        2097-710 /072655

ESAME (Memory Extension) Nucleus in use
Power of processor in terms of service Units: 32989
ESA/370 hardware installed
Operating on IFL Processor(s)
Channel Path Measurement Facility(CPMF) Extended is inst

Main Storage installed (MB):      70656
Main Storage Generated (MB):     70656
Expanded Storage installed (MB):  17152
Expanded Storage for CP (MB):    17152
Number of users in monitor file:  90
Number of DASD in monitor file:  530
Number of non-DASD in monitor file: 2
```

Configuration Errors just happen
Common configuration problems

- z/VM Release significant
- IFLs?
- Real Storage / Expanded
- Master processor can be significant

Know the z/VM LPAR overall loads: ESASSUM / ESAMAIN

Report: ESASSUM

Subsystem Activity

| Time | <---Users---> | | | Transactions | | <Processor> | | Storage (MB) | | <-Paging--> | |
|-------------------|----------------------|------|------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|-------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | <-avg number-> On | Actv | In Q | Per Minute | Avg. Resp | Utilization Total | Virt. | Fixed User | Active Resid. | <pages/sec> XStore | DASD |
| 10:15:00 | 89 | 63 | 61.3 | 145.1 | 0.613 | 262 | 254 | 14.4 | 68662 | 862 | 289 |
| 10:30:00 | 89 | 63 | 61.3 | 140.3 | 0.545 | 270 | 261 | 14.4 | 68726 | 886 | 133 |
| 10:45:00 | 89 | 63 | 63.3 | 134.1 | 0.563 | 262 | 253 | 14.0 | 68806 | 1123 | 281 |
| 11:00:00 | 89 | 64 | 67.4 | 137.8 | 0.477 | 275 | 259 | 13.5 | 68156 | 2218 | 665 |
| *****Summary***** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average: | 89 | 63 | 63.3 | 139.3 | 0.550 | 267 | 257 | 14.1 | 68587 | 1272 | 342 |

z/VM LPAR Loads

- Processor utilization
- Storage consumed by users
- Page rates to DASD, Expanded Storage
- DASD I/O rates
- (Transactions are for traditional workloads)

Any changes? Spikes?
what time?

- Processor
- Storage for users
- Page rates
- DASD I/O rates

Wait states provide direction for investigation

Wait state (queue) analysis -> “Interesting”

- **Running / CPU Wait** -> CPU Subsystem
- **Simulation wait** -> Master Processor (CPU)
- **Page wait / asynchronous** -> Paging/Storage subsystems
- **SIO / Asynchronous i/o** -> DASD subsystem
- **Eligible / Loading (LDG)** -> special state, loading working set

Normal idle wait states, “not interesting”

- TCPIP, Linux: test idle
- Traditional servers: SVM (service machine wait)
- Traditional users: idle (not in queue)

Compare “running” vs “interesting”

- Anything “Interesting” more than 1% is Interesting,
- **ELIGIBLE ALWAYS Interesting**

Two types of Wait states are provided by virtual machine

- Monitor frequency: once per minute (**Not interesting**)
- High Frequency (Hi-Freq): once per second (**Interesting**)
 - (60 samples per 1 minute per virtual cpu)

Shown by:

- Summarized for all users (**start here**)
- Summarized for user classes (grouped by installation)
- Servers
- Top users

User class analysis -> where to focus

- Group Test vs Product
- Group application by application
- Group support servers vs production

Report: ESAXACT Transaction Delay Analysis

<-----Percent non-dormant (Wait states)----->

| UserID /Class | <-Samples-> | | <-----Percent non-dormant (Wait states)-----> | | | | | | | | | | | <Asynch> | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|---------|-----|----------|-----|--|
| | Total | In Q | Run | Sim | CPU | SIO | Pag | E-SVM | D-SVM | T-SVM | CF | Tst Idl | I/O | Pag | Ldg | |
| 04/15/11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11:00:00 | 1335 | 1011 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 91 | 0.1 | . | . | |
| Hi-Freq: | 116K | 59208 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 89 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | |
| ***Key User Analysis *** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TCPIP | 893 | 285 | 0.4 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| ***User Class Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Servers | 12502 | 822 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4.5 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| *System | 1786 | 1437 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.7 | |
| *SOA | 35720 | 31695 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 88 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | |
| *ITM | 36613 | 23570 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | |
| *TheUsrs | 24111 | 480 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 26 | 5.2 | 0 | 91 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | |
| ***Top User Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LN XUWA01 | 893 | 893 | 71 | 0 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0 | |
| LN XUWA03 | 1786 | 1786 | 28 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 57 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| LN XUWA02 | 1786 | 1786 | 27 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 69 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | |
| LN XQWA01 | 1786 | 1786 | 4.0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | |
| LN XDWA02 | 1786 | 1786 | 6.0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | |

“HI-Freq:” - Wait states for all users
 Key users – as defined by installation
 User Class – as defined by installation
 Top “n” users – Note two vcpu has 2 times samples

User Configuration: ESAUSRC

Report: ESAUSRC User Configuration Velocity Software Corporate ESAMAP 4
 Monitor period: 3600 seconds (1:00:00) Last record: 04/15/11 11:00

| UserID | ClassID | Account Code | ACI Grp Name | CPU Type | <-----SHARE-----> | | | | Lim CPU | CPU <Modes> | <Status> | | | | <-MDC> | | <-Storage--> | | |
|-----------|---------|--------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----|------|---------|-------------|----------|----|----|-----|-----------|---------------|--------------|------|------|
| | | | | | <Normal> Rel | <--MAX--> Abs | Typ | Shre | | | NO | NO | FS | INS | <-VM Dflt | <-Size--> Max | | | |
| LNXDMS2A | *ITM | 27482 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 2.0G | 2.0G |
| LNXPB02 | *System | 75113 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 512M | 512M |
| LNXDWA01 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 400 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 6.0G | 6.0G |
| LNXDWA02 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 4.0G | 4.0G |
| LNXDWA03 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 2.0G | 2.0G |
| LNXDWA04 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 7.0G | 7.0G |
| LNXDWA11 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 8.0G | 8.0G |
| LNXQWA01 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 7.0G | 7.0G |
| LNXQWA02 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 2.0G | 2.0G |
| LNXQWA03 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 2.0G | 2.0G |
| LNXQWA04 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 2.0G | 2.0G |
| LNXTWA04 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 400 | . | . | . | . | 4 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 5.0G | 5.0G |
| LN XUWA01 | *SOA | 03817 | . | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | ESA V=V | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12G | 12G |

Look for “Interesting configurations”

- Large relative shares / absolute shares
- CPU Counts, matching shares (100 Rel / vcpu)
- CPU Type (IFL, CP)
- Virtual machine storage sizes (too large?, largest?)

From State Sampling (ESAXACT), If CPU Wait, or “running” is dominant state, then:

Top down:

- CEC / LPAR (ESALPARS)
- z/VM (ESACPUU)
- Virtual machine (ESAUSP2)
- Linux process (ESALNXP)

CPU Capture ratio 100% down to process

LPAR Configuration: ESALPARS

Report: ESALPARS Logical Partition Summary Velocity Software Corporate

| Time | <--Complex--> | | <-----Logical Partition----> | | | | | <-Assigned Shares----> | | | | Proce | | |
|----------|---------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----|-----------|-------------------|------|------------------------|----------------|------|------|---------|-----------|------|
| | Phys CPUs | Dispatch Slice | Name | Nbr | Virt CPUs | <%Assigned> Total | Ovhd | <---LPAR--> Weight | <VCPU Pct> Pct | /SYS | /CPU | Cap-ped | Wait Comp | Type |
| 10:15:00 | 18 | Dynamic | Totals: | 0 | 34 | 968.7 | 4.9 | 1080 | 88.9 | | | | | |
| | | | SYS4N3 | 7 | 5 | 263.5 | 1.2 | 80 | 6.6 | 1.32 | 23.7 | No | No | IFL |
| | | | SYS4P1 | 3 | 3 | 22.9 | 0.4 | 60 | 4.9 | 1.65 | 29.6 | No | No | CP |
| | | | SYS4N1 | 1 | 8 | 323.3 | 1.6 | 590 | 48.6 | 6.07 | 109 | No | No | CP |
| | | | SYS4N2 | 2 | 2 | 17.1 | 0.4 | 60 | 4.9 | 2.47 | 44.4 | No | No | CP |
| | | | SYS4D1 | 4 | 7 | 98.3 | 0.8 | 160 | 13.2 | 1.88 | 33.9 | No | No | CP |
| | | | SYS4D4 | 8 | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | Ded | 5.6 | 5.56 | 100 | No | Yes | ICF |
| | | | SYS4D5 | 9 | 1 | 98.6 | 0.0 | Ded | 5.6 | 5.56 | 100 | No | Yes | ICF |

Look for “Shared processors”

- First LPAR is “us”, z/vm where data collected (SYS4N3)
- IFLs shared between LPARs (none)
- Check weights
- Assigned pct/CPU > 100 ??? -> excess share?

Consumers within LPAR: ESAUSP2

Report: ESAUSP2 User Resource Rate Report Velocity Software C

```
-----<br><---CPU time--> <----Main Storage (pages)-----> <-----Paging (pages)-----><br>UserID <(Percent)> T:V <Resident> Lock <-----WSS-----> <---Allocated---> <Pgs/Secnd><br>/Class Total Virt Rat Totl Activ -ed Totl Activ Avg Total ExStg Disk Read Write<br>-----<br>11:00:00 262.6 259.3 1.0 17M 17M 234 19M 19M 213K 13M 4346K 8891K 166.3 391.8<br><br>***Key User Analysis ***<br>TCPIP 0.12 0.05 2.4 1286 1286 79 316 316 316 5005 736 4269 0.0 0.0<br><br>***User Class Analysis***<br>*Servers 0.40 0.36 1.1 957 951 3 1704 1067 76 16285 2162 14123 0.1 0.5<br>*SOA 239.2 236.7 1.0 15M 15M 39 17M 17M 843K 5138K 2431K 2707K 79.1 184.0<br>*ITM 22.47 21.83 1.0 2M 1971K 7 2M 2117K 96K 7686K 1761K 5925K 74.7 126.4<br>*TheUsrs 0.21 0.18 1.2 2869 2862 17 4372 3688 135 185K 82382 102K 2.5 2.1<br><br>***Top User Analysis***<br>LN XUWA01 67.65 67.32 1.0 3M 2889K 1 3M 3146K 3M 324K 65398 259K 15.3 0.1<br>LN XUWA03 54.43 53.29 1.0 4M 3848K 1 4M 3855K 4M 72353 63975 8378 7.5 0.3<br>LN XUWA02 50.18 49.92 1.0 685K 685K 0 855K 855K 855K 381K 296K 84613 2.2 2.7<br>LN XQWA01 12.23 12.11 1.0 1M 1246K 7 1M 1334K 1M 592K 541K 51075 3.1 3.0<br>LN XDWA02 11.73 11.64 1.0 713K 713K 6 844K 844K 844K 205K 56215 148K 2.0 0.7<br>LN XDWA04 10.18 10.10 1.0 1M 1152K 1 1M 1248K 1M 689K 593K 96720 1.0 70.8</pre>
```

Look for consumers, in percent of cpu

- **By class (SOA)**
- **Abusive servers (LN XUWA*)?**
- **Correct per expected? Not a performance question**

Report: ESALNXP

LINUX HOST Process Statistics Report

| node/ Name | <-Process Ident-> | | | <-----CPU Percents-----> | | | | | <Stg (k)> | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| | ID | PPID | GRP | Tot | sys | user | syst | usrt | Size | RSS |
| LNXUWA01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67.0 | 5.98 | 59.0 | 1.20 | 0.81 | 13M | 9M |
| java | 4444 | 1 | 4444 | 1.10 | 0.07 | 1.03 | 0 | 0 | 1M | 801K |
| kd4agent | 5576 | 1 | 4362 | 4.71 | 1.68 | 3.03 | 0 | 0 | 99K | 64K |
| kynagent | 9569 | 1 | 4362 | 2.48 | 0.07 | 2.41 | 0 | 0 | 314K | 212K |
| kcawd | 9634 | 1 | 4362 | 1.92 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.14 | 0.75 | 37K | 6936 |
| java | 10547 | 1 | 10547 | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 870K | 743K |
| java | 11751 | 4877 | 4877 | 0.57 | 0.07 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 617K | 98K |
| java | 11837 | 1 | 11837 | 3.28 | 0.12 | 3.16 | 0 | 0 | 3M | 1M |
| java | 21374 | 15199 | 21374 | 46.3 | 3.07 | 43.2 | 0 | 0 | 3M | 3M |
| java | 24567 | 1 | 24567 | 2.27 | 0.18 | 2.09 | 0 | 0 | 1M | 831K |
| java | 28060 | 1 | 28060 | 1.23 | 0.09 | 1.14 | 0 | 0 | 1M | 821K |
| java | 32428 | 1 | 32428 | 1.17 | 0.10 | 1.07 | 0 | 0 | 810K | 538K |

Look for processes within Linux, in percent of CPU

- **By relevant server (LNXUWA01)**
- **Correct? Relevant? Cron? Agents?**

Spike in paging? Look at Storage.

Top down:

- z/VM (ESASTR1)
- Virtual machines (ESAUSPG)
- VDISK / MDC / Address Space (ESAASPC)
- Linux server (ESAUCD2)
- Linux process (ESALNXP)

Storage Utilization: ESASTR1

Report: **ESASTR1** **Main Storage Analysis** Velocity Software Corporate

| Time | Users <-----> | | Pages-----> | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|
| | Loggd On | System Storage | Frame Table | <Available> <2gb >2gb | | User Resdnt | NSS/DCSS Resident | <-AddSpace> Systm User | | VDISK Rsdnt | <MDC> Rsdnt |
| 10:15:00 | 89 | 18088K | 141K | 79 | 1032 | 17577K | 4771 | 226K | 0 | 26852 | 81157 |
| 10:30:00 | 89 | 18088K | 141K | 89 | 1193 | 17594K | 4769 | 226K | 0 | 30182 | 61307 |
| 10:45:00 | 89 | 18088K | 141K | 78 | 1050 | 17614K | 4769 | 225K | 0 | 46189 | 25812 |
| 11:00:00 | 89 | 18088K | 141K | 82 | 1062 | 17448K | 4775 | 223K | 0 | 237K | 1418 |

Total storage analysis (in pages)

- System Storage (18 Million pages, 72GB)
- MDC dropping? 81,157 pages: 300mb? SET MDC MAX/MIN
- User resident should be large percent of “System Storage”
- VDISK Spike (1gb) at 11:00 ? Which server?

Virtual Machine Storage : ESAUSPG

| Report: ESAUSPG | | User Storage Analysis | | | | | Velocity Software Corporate | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| UserID /Class | <---Storage occupancy in pages---> | | | <--Main Storage page | | | <Page Reads--> | | <Address | | |
| | <---Main Storage---> | | | <--Paging---> | | <-Page Writes to:--> | | <Page Reads--> | | <pages R | |
| | Total | >2gb | <2GB | Xstor | DASD | Xsto | Disk | Migr | Xstor | Disk | VirtDisk |
| 11:00:00 | 17448K | 16943K | 504640 | 4346K | 8891K | 1120K | 352582 | 320630 | 822546 | 149628 | 237286 |
| ***Top User Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LN XUWA01 | 2889K | 2798K | 90725 | 65398 | 258675 | 10999 | 112 | 0 | 5390 | 13806 | 0 |
| LN XUWA03 | 3848K | 3762K | 85186 | 63975 | 8378 | 21875 | 277 | 0 | 221201 | 6714 | 223173 |
| LN XUWA02 | 685385 | 648345 | 37040 | 296256 | 84613 | 36427 | 2443 | 0 | 22943 | 1983 | 0 |
| LN XQWA01 | 1246K | 1218K | 28190 | 541178 | 51075 | 35529 | 2727 | 0 | 14094 | 2787 | 1428 |
| LN XDWA02 | 713091 | 672702 | 40388 | 56215 | 148406 | 16314 | 649 | 0 | 451 | 1828 | 0 |
| LN XDWA04 | 1152K | 1120K | 31859 | 592756 | 96720 | 13708 | 63725 | 63261 | 1189 | 942 | 0 |
| LN XDWA03 | 330601 | 324021 | 6581 | 4194 | 39207 | 3926 | 5601 | 5345 | 120 | 734 | 8 |
| LN XTWA04 | 883228 | 860363 | 22865 | 90734 | 129722 | 7768 | 31 | 0 | 182 | 66 | 1889 |
| LN XUWA15 | 693689 | 664995 | 28694 | 53516 | 137150 | 10556 | 1382 | 0 | 553 | 457 | 0 |

Total storage analysis (in pages, new “megabyte” option)

- Largest consumer(s) resident storage
- Largest consumer - which virtual disk?
- VDISK Spike (1gb) ? Which server?
- (LN XUWA03 was in Asynchronous I/O Wait)

VDISK for Swap: ESAVDSK (Or ESAASPC)

Report: ESAVDSK VDISK Analysis Report Velocity Software Corporate

| Owner | Space Name | -----<--Size---> | <AddSpce> | Priv | VIO | <--pages----- | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------|------|---------------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|--|
| | | AddSpc | VDSK | Cre- | Del- | or | rate | User | Resi- | Lock- | Sto- | DASD | |
| | | Pages | Blks | ates | etes | Shrd | /sec | Links | dent | ed | len | Read | |
| ----- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10:45:00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LNXQWA01 | VDISK\$LNQWA01\$0206\$0530 | 64256 | 512K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 0.00 | 1 | 122 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | |
| LNXQWA01 | VDISK\$LNQWA01\$0207\$0531 | 64256 | 512K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 0.04 | 1 | 2565 | 0 | 3.5 | 0.2 | |
| LNXTWA04 | VDISK\$LNXTWA04\$0206\$051C | 131K | 1049K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 1.28 | 1 | 11K | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| LN XUWA03 | VDISK\$LN XUWA03\$0206\$051E | 250K | 2002K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 0.65 | 1 | 14K | 0 | 1.6 | 6.7 | |
| LN XUWA03 | VDISK\$LN XUWA03\$0207\$051F | 375K | 3002K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 0.29 | 1 | 4980 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | |
| LN XUWA03 | VDISK\$LN XUWA03\$0208\$0520 | 513K | 4102K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 0.28 | 1 | 4751 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| ----- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| System Totals: | | 7805K | 125M | 0 | 0 | . | 5.09 | 204 | 46K | 0 | 7.3 | 8.1 | |
| ----- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11:00:00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LNXQWA01 | VDISK\$LNQWA01\$0206\$0530 | 64256 | 512K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 0 | 1 | 46.9 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | |
| LNXQWA01 | VDISK\$LNQWA01\$0207\$0531 | 64256 | 512K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 0 | 1 | 1381 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | |
| LNXTWA04 | VDISK\$LNXTWA04\$0206\$051C | 131K | 1049K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 0 | 1 | 3984 | 0 | 11.7 | 0 | |
| LN XUWA03 | VDISK\$LN XUWA03\$0206\$051E | 250K | 2002K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 10.1 | 1 | 46K | 0 | 12.9 | 58.4 | |
| LN XUWA03 | VDISK\$LN XUWA03\$0207\$051F | 375K | 3002K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 16.2 | 1 | 88K | 0 | 6.1 | 19.7 | |
| LN XUWA03 | VDISK\$LN XUWA03\$0208\$0520 | 513K | 4102K | 0 | 0 | Shrd | 16.1 | 1 | 88K | 0 | 5.8 | 20.2 | |
| ----- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| System Totals: | | 7805K | 125M | 0 | 0 | . | 84.6 | 204 | 237K | 0 | 37.2 | 98.3 | |

Virtual Disk Analysis

- Which virtual disk spiked?
- Are there multiple vdisks, and PRIORITIZED!!!

Paging increased

- Virtual disk resident storage increased
- Associated with one linux server. (LNXUWA03)
- 2nd largest server in terms of cpu

CPU utilization?

- Understand agents using 10% per server
- Java application

The problem???

CPU Wait?

Know the configuration: ESAHDR

Report: ESAHDR z/VM Monitor Analysis
Monitor initialized: 06/13/17 at 15:00:00 on 2098 ser

ZMAP Release 4.3.1
History Source 4.3.0
Monitor file created: 06/13/17 15:00:

z/VM Version: 6 Release 3.0 SLU 16
TOD clock at termination 09:42:
Abend code of last termination
TOD clock at last IPL: 04/21/17 09:43:
System Operator: OPERAT
Time zone adjustment from GMT: -4 hours

System Identifier ONONVM07
Checkpoint/Warmstart Volumes VM7RES/VM7RES
Machine Model/Type z10B:2098/A00
System Sequence Code 0000000000FD045

Processor 0 model/serial 2098-A00 /00D045 Master
Processor 1 model/serial 2098-A00 /00D045
Processor 2 model/serial 2098-A00 /00D045
Processor 3 model/serial 2098-A00 /00D045

Operating on IFL Processor(s)

Channel Path Measurement Facility(CPMF) Extended is installed

LPAR Capability Adjustment Factor Number⁵⁷¹ of logical partitions defined: 4

Totals by Processor type: **Main Storage installed (MB): 45056**
<-----CPU-----> <-Shared Processor busy> **Main Storage Generated (MB): 45056**
Type Count Ded shared total assigned Ovhd Mgmt

Number of users in monitor file: 127
Number of DASD in monitor file: 492
IFL 7 0 7 522.6 513.4 7.2 9.2

Common configuration problems

- IFLs?
- Real Storage
- Release significant
- Master processor significant

Know the overall loads: ESASSUM / ESAMAIN

| Report: ESASSUM | Subsystem Activity | | | | | | | | Locality Software | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|------|------|--------------|-------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------------------|------|-----------|------|
| Time | <---Users---> | | | Transactions | | <Processor> | | Storage (MB) | | Pgng | <-----I/O | |
| | <-avg number-> | Per | Avg. | Utilization | Fixed | Active | sec> | <-DASD--> | | | | |
| | On | Actv | In Q | Minute | Resp | Total | Virt. | User | Resid. | DASD | Rate | Resp |
| 15:01:00 | 40 | 25 | 14.0 | 339.0 | 0.185 | 325 | 315 | 25.8 | 31853 | 0 | 66 | 0.9 |
| 15:02:00 | 40 | 25 | 15.0 | 352.0 | 0.152 | 322 | 311 | 25.8 | 31855 | 0 | 117 | 0.7 |
| 15:03:00 | 40 | 28 | 15.0 | 328.0 | 0.213 | 326 | 318 | 25.8 | 31865 | 0 | 43 | 1.0 |
| 15:04:00 | 40 | 24 | 15.0 | 347.0 | 0.173 | 354 | 345 | 25.8 | 31852 | 0 | 59 | 0.7 |
| 15:05:00 | 40 | 24 | 16.0 | 349.0 | 0.173 | 312 | 301 | 25.7 | 31852 | 0 | 56 | 1.0 |
| 15:06:00 | 40 | 23 | 15.0 | 359.0 | 0.157 | 249 | 236 | 25.8 | 31850 | 0 | 31 | 0.4 |
| 15:07:00 | 40 | 24 | 14.0 | 328.0 | 0.177 | 277 | 265 | 25.9 | 31854 | 0 | 25 | 1.1 |
| 15:08:00 | 40 | 29 | 16.0 | 331.0 | 0.210 | 281 | 269 | 25.7 | 31867 | 0 | 95 | 0.7 |

Look for Spikes, dramatic changes, what time? **15:04**

- Processor
- Storage for users
- Page rates
- DASD I/O rates
- (Transactions are for traditional workloads)

Wait states provide options for improvement

- State Sampling – once per minute per user
- Hi-Frequency State Sampling – once per second per vcpu
 - (900 samples per vcpu per 15 minute period)

Waits reported by server, class, top user

- Look for what is impacting the users
- Recognize “running” to wait comparison

Wait state (queue) analysis -> where to focus

- Running / CPU Wait -> CPU Subsystem
- Simulation wait (master processor) -> CPU Subsystem
- Page wait -> Paging/Storage subsystems
- Asynchronous i/o, SIO -> DASD subsystem
- Loading – special state, loading in working set (LDUBUF)
 - NOT a wait state, indicates thrashing
- Eligible – SRM Settings – has no value with 6.3

Normal idle wait states

- TCPIP, Linux: test idle
- Traditional servers: SVM (service machine wait)
- Traditional users: idle (not in queue)

Report: ESAXACT

Transaction Delay Analysis

Veloc

-----<-----Percent non-dormant (Wait states)----->

| UserID /Class | -<-Samples-> | | | | | | | | | | <Asynch> | | | Pct | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|---------|-----|------|---|
| | Total | In Q | Run | Sim | CPU | SIO | Pag | E-SVM | D-SVM | T-SVM | CF | Tst Idl | I/O Pag | Ldg | Elig | |
| 15:04:00 | 40 | 15 | 27 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | . | . | 0 |
| Hi-Freq: | 2820 | 921 | 26 | 0.1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ***Key User Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TCPIP | 60 | 16 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ***User Class Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Servers | 600 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Velocity | 660 | 16 | 19 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.7 | 13 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ORACLE | 660 | 660 | 25 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DB2 | 120 | 120 | 54 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TheUsrs | 660 | 107 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.9 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ***CPU POOL User Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IFL3 | 120 | 120 | 54 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ***Top User Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PSFN | 180 | 180 | 65 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DB2PRD1 | 120 | 120 | 54 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BEAST | 120 | 120 | 22 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PSCRM | 120 | 120 | 7.5 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PSHR | 120 | 120 | 6.7 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ORADBP | 120 | 120 | 5.0 | 0 | 8.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZALERT | 60 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZTC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |

Look for Dominant Wait State

- CPU Is the only wait state of significance

Report: ESALPAR Logical Partition Summary

Velocity Software Co

| <-----Logical Partition-----> | | | | | | | <-Assigned Shares-----> | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----|------|------|-------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|
| Virt CPU <%Assigned> | | | | | | | <---LPAR--> <VCPU Pct> | | | |
| Cap- Time | Name | Nbr | CPUs | Type | Total | Ovhd | Weight | Pct | /SYS | /CPU |
| 15:01:00 | Totals: | 00 | 11 | IFL | 630.9 | 4.3 | 2100 | 100 | | |
| | VM03 | 00 | 4 | IFL | 326.9 | 0.8 | 900 | 42.9 | 10.7 | 75.0 |
| | VMDB | 03 | 4 | IFL | 178.4 | 2.1 | 600 | 28.6 | 7.14 | 50.0 |
| | VM05 | 02 | 3 | IFL | 125.6 | 1.3 | 600 | 28.6 | 9.5 | 66.7 |

Look for “Shared processors” (7 IFLs)

- First LPAR is “us”, z/vm where data collected (VM03)
- IFLs shared between LPARs (LINUX and VM)
- Check weights
- “pct/CPU” is how fast cpu will run when all are busy

Totals by Processor type:

```
<-----CPU-----> <-Shared Processor busy->
Type Count Ded shared Total Logical Ovhd Mgmt
-----
IFL      7   0      7  636.1    626.6   4.3   5.2
```

Linux LPAR is using 4 virtual CPUs

IFLs running at 90%

Overhead Low

CASE Karl. Virtual Machine Consumption Analysis

Top User Analysis

| | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-----|
| PSFN | 163.4 | 162.0 | 1.0 |
| BEAST | 62.81 | 62.33 | 1.0 |
| DB2PRD1 | 38.02 | 37.55 | 1.0 |
| ORADBP | 30.02 | 28.95 | 1.0 |
| PSCRM | 16.37 | 15.66 | 1.0 |

One major consumer
User classification done well

Report: ESAUSP2 Use
 Monitor initialized: 06/

<---CPU time-->
 UserID <(Percent)> T:V
 /Class Total Virt Rat

| | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-----|
| 15:01:00 | 319.7 | 315.1 | 1.0 |
|----------|-------|-------|-----|

***Key User Analysis**
 TCPIP 0.24 0.11 2.1

***User Class Analysis*
 Servers 0.03 0.03 1.0
 Velocity 2.02 1.94 1.0
 ORACLE 278.3 274.4 1.0
 DB2 38.02 37.55 1.0
 TheUsrs 1.18 1.15 1.0

***CPU POOL User Analys
 IFL3 38.02 37.55 1.0

Top User Analysis

| | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-----|
| PSFN | 163.4 | 162.0 | 1.0 |
| BEAST | 62.81 | 62.33 | 1.0 |
| DB2PRD1 | 38.02 | 37.55 | 1.0 |
| ORADBP | 30.02 | 28.95 | 1.0 |
| PSCRM | 16.37 | 15.66 | 1.0 |
| PSHR | 5.62 | 5.46 | 1.0 |

CASE Karl. Virtual Machine Consumption Analysis

| Report: ESAUSP2 | | Use | |
|------------------|-------------|-------|-----|
| ----- | | | |
| <---CPU time---> | | | |
| UserID | <(Percent)> | | T:V |
| /Class | Total | Virt | Rat |
| PSFN | 163.4 | 162.0 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 197.7 | 196.0 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 171.2 | 170.1 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 169.4 | 168.2 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 200.8 | 199.1 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 162.4 | 160.3 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 198.4 | 196.1 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 164.2 | 162.0 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 161.3 | 159.5 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 174.2 | 172.2 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 211.4 | 209.4 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 208.6 | 206.8 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 175.6 | 174.1 | 1.0 |
| PSFN | 152.8 | 150.8 | 1.0 |

Server consistently close to 2 IFLs.

No other spikes

CASE Karl. Linux Process consumption

Report: ESALNXP LINUX HOST Process Statistics Report
Monitor initialized: 01/13/17 at 13:00:00 on 2964 serial 06EC4

| node/ Name | <-Process ID | Ident-> PPID | GRP | Nice Valu | PRTY Valu | <-----CPU Tot | Percents sys | user | syst | usrt |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|
| PSFN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 27.0 | 101 | 3.57 | 23.8 |
| init | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 27.3 | 0 | 0 | 3.49 | 23.8 |
| ora_arc0 | 42146 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7.02 | 5.05 | 1.97 | 0 | 0 |
| java | 43123 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| snmpd | 45549 | 1 | 0 | -15 | 5 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 50335 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 14.0 | 1.82 | 12.2 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 50517 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 15.9 | 1.75 | 14.1 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 50967 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 14.0 | 2.04 | 11.9 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 50991 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 13.1 | 1.30 | 11.8 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 57681 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3.81 | 1.02 | 2.79 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 57694 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 14.6 | 1.92 | 12.6 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59123 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7.51 | 1.02 | 6.49 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59215 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2.22 | 0.42 | 1.80 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59396 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1.75 | 0.31 | 1.44 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59443 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59520 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0.36 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59528 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0.82 | 0.12 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59543 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0.99 | 0.19 | 0.80 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59800 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5.79 | 1.20 | 4.60 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_5 | 59889 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1.86 | 0.43 | 1.42 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_6 | 60430 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1.79 | 0.26 | 1.53 | 0 | 0 |
| oracle_6 | 60808 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5.73 | 2.03 | 3.70 | 0 | 0 |

Oracle database, nothing significant,
Nothing really specific

Karl Storage Utilization: ESASTR1

Report: ESASTR1 Ma Velocity Software Corporate ZMAP 4.3.1 06/20/17
Monitor initialized: 06 First record analyzed: 06/13/17 15:00:00

```
-----  
Users <-----> Over  
Loggd System      User    NSS/DCSS    <-AddSpace>    VDISK    <MDC>    Diag    Commit  
Time      On Storage    Resdnt    Resident    System User    Rsdnt    Rsdnt    98    Ratio  
-----  
06/13/17  
15:01:00    40    45056    31874      33      293      0    1716    125    11    0.842  
15:02:00    40    45056    31874      33      293      0    1716    124    11    0.842  
15:03:00    40    45056    31873      33      293      0    1716    122    11    0.842  
15:04:00    40    45056    31874      33      293      0    1716    120    11    0.842  
15:05:00    40    45056    31874      33      293      0    1716    122    11    0.842  
15:06:00    40    45056    31874      33      293      0    1716    113    11    0.842  
15:07:00    40    45056    31874      33      293      0    1716    117    11    0.842  
15:08:00    40    45056    31873      33      293      0    1716    122    11    0.842  
15:09:00    40    45056    31874      33      293      0    1716    119    11    0.842  
15:10:00    40    45056    31874      33      293      0    1716    119    11    0.842
```

Total storage analysis

- System Storage (45G)
- MDC perfect? Vdisk good?
- Low overcommit, no paging....

No issues

Oracle Server waits for CPU

- Could give it one more VCPU and higher share
- Server running “200 / 300” percent busy
- Is there something else can take CPU away from?

The problem.

Eligible list

Applications spikey performance

```
Report: ESAHDR          z/VM Monitor Analysis
-----
ZMAP Release                      4.3.0.9
History Source                     4.3.0.9
Monitor file created:              06/15/17  00:00:00

z/VM Version: 6                  Release 3.0 SLU 1601
TOD clock at termination           13:09:04
Abend code of last termination
TOD clock at last IPL:            06/11/17  13:09:39
System Operator:                   OPERATOR
Time zone adjustment from GMT:     -4 hours

System Identifier                  DRBN005
Checkpoint/Warmstart Volumes       V5SRES/V5SRES
Machine Model/Type                 Z13S:2965/V06
System Sequence Code               00000000000DBBA7
Processor 0 model/serial            2965-V06  /0BBBA7 Master
Processor 1 model/serial            2965-V06  /0BBBA7
```

Power of processor in terms of service Units: 39603

Operating on GP Processor(s)

Channel Path Measurement Facility(CPMF) Extended is installed

z/VM 6.3, z13

LPAR has 2 processors in LPAR

Report: ESAHDR z/VM Monitor Analysis
Monitor initialized: 01/13/17 at 13:00:00 on 2964
Monitor period: 3600 seconds (1:00:00)

Totals by Processor type:

| Type | Count | Ded | shared | total | assigned | Ovhd | Mgmt |
|------|-------|-----|--------|-------|----------|------|------|
| CP | 6 | 0 | 6 | 465.2 | 451.8 | 6.7 | 13.4 |
| ICF | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.4 |
| ZIIP | 4 | 0 | 4 | 18.2 | 17.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 |

Running on IFLs

13 IFLs, shared, 60% utilized on average

LPAR Overhead 28% on average

CASE F. Subsystems

Report: ESASSUM Subsystem Activity Velocity
Monitor initialized: 06/15/17 at 03:00:00 on 2965 serial 0BBBA7 First r

| Time | <---Users---> | | | Transactions | | <Processor> | | Storage (MB) | | <---Paging---> | |
|----------|----------------------|------|------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|-------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|------|
| | <-avg number-> On | Actv | In Q | Per Minute | Avg. Resp | Utilization Total | Virt. | Fixed User | Active Resid. | <pages/sec> XStore | DASD |
| 10:01:00 | 119 | 80 | 14.0 | 345.7 | 0.570 | 128 | 114 | 39.5 | 1384.1 | 98 | 78 |
| 10:02:00 | 118 | 80 | 12.0 | 329.0 | 0.557 | 126 | 114 | 39.2 | 1260.2 | 37 | 90 |
| 10:03:00 | 118 | 77 | 16.0 | 292.0 | 0.085 | 136 | 125 | 39.2 | 1264.2 | 9 | 41 |
| 10:04:00 | 118 | 74 | 6.0 | 328.0 | 0.216 | 127 | 119 | 39.1 | 1256.6 | 17 | 23 |
| 10:05:00 | 118 | 72 | 10.0 | 319.0 | 0.072 | 120 | 114 | 39.2 | 1263.0 | 2 | 12 |
| 10:06:00 | 118 | 74 | 25.0 | 275.0 | 0.239 | 128 | 119 | 39.4 | 1266.4 | 11 | 21 |
| 10:07:00 | 118 | 72 | 10.0 | 323.0 | 1.166 | 139 | 128 | 39.1 | 389.9 | 11 | 48 |
| 10:08:00 | 118 | 75 | 15.0 | 294.0 | 0.344 | 155 | 139 | 39.1 | 1268.1 | 16 | 47 |
| 10:09:00 | 118 | 78 | 9.0 | 293.0 | 0.356 | 154 | 138 | 39.1 | 1273.4 | 41 | 53 |
| 10:10:00 | 119 | 75 | 9.0 | 272.0 | 0.148 | 161 | 145 | 39.1 | 1278.3 | 10 | 26 |
| 10:11:00 | 119 | 74 | 9.0 | 302.0 | 0.123 | 65 | 56 | 39.0 | 404.9 | 16 | 21 |
| 10:12:00 | 119 | 74 | 8.0 | 277.0 | 0.375 | 71 | 59 | 39.3 | 415.1 | 3 | 50 |
| 10:13:00 | 119 | 69 | 5.0 | 249.0 | 0.872 | 40 | 35 | 39.1 | 406.6 | 3 | 18 |

CPU about 125%
Paging low, no real spikes
No real spikes, problem

CASE F. Wait States

| Report: ESAXACT | | Transaction Delay Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | Veloc | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|---------|-------|----------|-----|
| -----Percent non-dormant (Wait states)----- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UserID | <-Samples-> | | <-----Percent non-dormant (Wait states)----- | | | | | | | | | | | <Asynch> | |
| /Class | Total | In Q | Run | Sim | CPU | SIO | Pag | E-SVM | D-SVM | T-SVM | CF | Tst Idl | I/O | Pag | Ldg |
| 10:01:00 | 119 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 21 | 0 | . | . |
| Hi-Freq: | 7139 | 772 | 12 | 14 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 22 | 0.4 | 42 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| ***User Class Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Servers | 420 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Velocity | 600 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.3 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 50 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CATech | 360 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.8 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TheUsrs | 5399 | 610 | 16 | 18 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 27 | 0.3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |
| ***Top User Analysis*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CDSADMIN | 60 | 56 | 73 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SILASVUE | 60 | 60 | 20 | 68 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BKWEB05 | 60 | 23 | 74 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIWRKS03 | 60 | 18 | 33 | 50 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SFS0005 | 60 | 39 | 13 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BKTRIN1 | 60 | 14 | 14 | 57 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SFS0006 | 60 | 42 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIWRK069 | 60 | 12 | 8.3 | 42 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BKMON | 59 | 34 | 2.9 | 85 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BKTRIN2 | 60 | 7 | 14 | 29 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BKWEB04 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Simulation wait high, cpu wait high, no page wait, low SIO wait

CASE F. CPU WAIT?

| Report: ESALPARS | | Logical Partition Summary | | | | | | | Veloc | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----------|----------|-------------------|------|--------------------|------|
| Time | Phys CPUs | Dispatch Slice | Logical Partition Name | Nbr | Virt CPUs | CPU Type | <%Assigned> Total | Ovhd | <---LPAR--> Weight | Pct |
| 03:01:00 | 12 | Dynamic | Totals: | 00 | 26 | CP | 579.3 | 5.8 | 1000 | 100 |
| | | | VM5LPAR | 0B | 2 | CP | 45.9 | 0.7 | 180 | 18.0 |
| | | | SYQ1LPAR | 06 | 3 | CP | 208.7 | 1.1 | 250 | 25.0 |
| | | | SYQ2LPAR | 05 | 2 | CP | 34.9 | 0.2 | 55 | 5.5 |
| | | | SYQ8LPAR | 08 | 1 | CP | 3.1 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.5 |
| | | | SYU1LPAR | 09 | 3 | CP | 158.3 | 0.8 | 185 | 18.5 |
| | | | SYU2LPAR | 0A | 2 | CP | 33.0 | 0.7 | 55 | 5.5 |
| | | | VMT1LPAR | 0C | 1 | CP | 2.8 | 0.2 | 13 | 1.3 |
| | | | VM1LPAR | 04 | 1 | CP | 2.7 | 0.2 | 13 | 1.3 |
| | | | VM2LPAR | 02 | 2 | CP | 3.4 | 0.3 | 18 | 1.8 |
| | | | VM3LPAR | 03 | 2 | CP | 22.7 | 0.1 | 26 | 2.6 |
| | | | VM4LPAR | 07 | 2 | CP | 17.5 | 0.4 | 90 | 9.0 |
| | | | VM6LPAR | 0D | 1 | CP | 4.4 | 0.2 | 30 | 3.0 |
| | | | VM7LPAR | 01 | 2 | CP | 24.1 | 0.2 | 35 | 3.5 |
| | | | VM8LPAR | 0E | 2 | CP | 17.9 | 0.4 | 45 | 4.5 |
| | | | CFKP | 15 | 1 | ICF | 100.0 | 0.0 | Ded | 8.3 |
| | | | CFKT | 14 | 1 | ICF | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50 | 50.0 |
| | | | CFKZ | 11 | 1 | ICF | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50 | 50.0 |

Very high overcommit of cp
26:6

Totals by Processor type:

| | <-----CPU-----> | | | <-Shared Processor busy-> | | | |
|------|-----------------|-----|--------|---------------------------|---------|------|------|
| Type | Count | Ded | shared | Total | Logical | Ovhd | Mgmt |
| CP | 6 | 0 | 6 | 593.5 | 573.5 | 5.8 | 14.2 |
| ICF | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| ZIIP | 4 | 0 | 4 | 34.5 | 32.8 | 0.4 | 1.4 |

**CP's VERY busy,
Overhead 20%**

Report: ESASTR1 Masis Velocity SoftwAP 4.
 Monitor initialized: 0600 on 2965 serial 0BBBA7 First record a03:00

```

-----
      Users <-----MegaBytes-----
      Loggd System   Frame <Available>  Systm   User   NSS/DCSS   <MDC>
      On  Storage   Table <2gb  >2gb  ExSpc  Resdnt  Resident  Rsdnt
-----
10:01:00   119     4096     32     38      0      15     1391      19     2302
10:02:00   118     4096     32    187    155     15     1266      19     2121
10:03:00   118     4096     32    203      6     15     1283      19     2239
10:04:00   118     4096     32    167     50     15     1272      19     2244
10:05:00   118     4096     32    165     35     15     1280      19     2253
10:06:00   118     4096     32    126      0     15     1281      19     2325
10:07:00   118     4096     32    221     57     15     1283      19     2172
10:08:00   118     4096     32    217      0     15     1282      19     2233
10:09:00   118     4096     32     82      0     15     1285      19     2366
10:10:00   119     4096     32    167      0     15     1300      19     2262
10:11:00   119     4096     32    223     58     15     1312      20     2139
10:12:00   119     4096     32    190      0     15     1305      20     2238
  
```

Should MDC take more than 1/2 storage???

- System not paging, so lots of storage
- Ok for now, but **LIMIT SHOULD BE SET!**

The problem???

CPU Wait?

Know the configuration: ESAHDR

Report: ESAHDR z/VM Monitor Analysis
Monitor initialized: 05/30/17 at 02:00:00 on 2827 serial 0168
Monitor period: 3600 seconds (1:00:00)

ZMAP Release 4.3.0.9
History Source 4.3.0.5
Monitor file created: 05/30/17 02:00:00 F
C
(
z/VM Version: 6 Release 3.0 SLU 1501
TOD clock at last IPL: 02/19/17 07:16:17
System Operator: OPERATOR
Time zone adjustment from GMT: -4 hours

System Identifier VML2
Checkpoint/Warmstart Volumes LV2RES/LV2RES
Machine Model/Type EC12:2827/702
System Sequence Code 00000000000468A7
Processor 0 model/serial 2827-702 /0168A7 Master
Processor 1 model/serial 2827-702 /0168A7
Processor 2 model/serial 2827-702 /0168A7
Processor 3 model/serial 2827-702 /0168A7

Power of processor in terms of service Units: 73394
Operating on IFL Processor(s)

Totals by Processor type:
<-----CPU-----> <-Shared Processor busy>
Type Count Ded shared total assigned Ovhd Mgmt

CP 2 0 2 62.4 60.6 0.8 1.8
IFL 4 0 4 379.8 376.9 2.2 2.9
ICF 3 3 0 0.2 0 0 0.2
ZIIP 1 0 1 6.6 6.4 0.1 0.2

Main Storage installed (MB): 200704



Configuration Errors just happen
Common configuration problems

- z/VM Release significant
- IFLs 4
- Real Storage 200GB
- Master processor can be significant

Know the z/VM LPAR overall loads: ESASSUM / ESAMAIN

Report: ESASSUM

Subsystem Activity

| Time | <---Users---> | | | Transactions | | <Processor> | | Storage (MB) | | <--Paging--> | |
|----------|----------------|----|------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------|--------------|------|
| | <-avg number-> | On | Actv | In Q | Per Minute | Avg. Resp | Utilization | Fixed | Active | <pages/sec> | |
| | | | | | | | Total | User | Resid. | XStore | DASD |
| 02:01:00 | 57 | 40 | 44.0 | 32.0 | 0.339 | 237 | 214 | 165.6 | 175741 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:02:00 | 57 | 39 | 44.0 | 33.0 | 0.330 | 243 | 214 | 165.6 | 175739 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:03:00 | 57 | 39 | 44.0 | 29.0 | 0.351 | 249 | 215 | 165.6 | 175739 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:04:00 | 57 | 39 | 44.0 | 25.0 | 0.031 | 253 | 216 | 165.6 | 175739 | 0 | 0 |

z/VM LPAR Loads

- Processor utilization
- Storage consumed by users
- Page rates to DASD, Expanded Storage
- DASD I/O rates
- (Transactions are for traditional workloads)

Any changes? Spikes?
what time?

- Processor
- Storage for users
- Page rates
- DASD I/O rates

Report: ESAXACT Transaction Delay Analysis

```

-----
<-----Percent non-dormant (Wait states)-----
UserID      <-Samples->      E-   D-   T-      Tst  <Asynch>
/Class      Total   In Q  Run Sim CPU  SIO Pag SVM SVM SVM   CF Idl I/O Pag Ldg
-----
02:01:00    57     44  6.8   0   39   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  55   0   .   .
Hi-Freq:    4380  2688  6.1  0.4  38   0   0   0  2.1  0.0   0   0  55  0.1   0   0
***User Class Analysis***
Servers     540     1   0     0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0
Velocity    600    19  5.3   0  5.3   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  89   0   0   0
*Prod       2100  2067  7.1  0.5  37   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  56  0.1   0   0
*Util       120    120   0     0  8.3   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  92   0   0   0
TheUsrs     900    428  3.7  0.5  59   0   0   0  10  0.2   0   0  36   0   0   0
***Top User Analysis***
L220P       120    120  20  4.2  72   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  4.2   0   0   0
L21EP       120    120  19  1.7  71   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  7.5  0.8   0   0
L22AP       120    120  9.2   0  52   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  39   0   0   0
L258P        60     60  25   0  75   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0
L218P        60     60  17   0  68   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  13  1.7   0   0
L23CP        60     60  20   0  67   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  13   0   0   0
  
```

“HI-Freq:” - Wait states for all users
 Key users – as defined by installation
 User Class – as defined by installation
 Top “n” users – Note two vcpu has 2 times samples



User Configuration: ESAUSRC

Report: ESAUSRC User Configuration Velocity Sof

| UserID | ClassID | Account Code | ACI Grp Name | <CP POOL> PoolName | CPU Type | <-----SHARE-----> | | | | <---CPU | | |
|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----|------|---------|----------------|---|
| | | | | | | <Normal> Rel | <--MAX--> Abs | Typ | Shre | Lim -it | <Count> Def On | |
| L10AC | TheUsrs | L10AC | . | . | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L10DU | *Util | L10DU | . | . | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L20EP | *Prod | L20EP | . | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L20FP | *Prod | L20FP | . | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L21EP | *Prod | L21EP | . | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L211P | *Prod | L211P | . | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 |
| L212P | *Prod | L212P | . | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 |
| L214P | *Prod | L214P | . | . | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 |
| L217P | *Prod | L217P | . | . | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 |
| L218P | *Prod | L218P | . | . | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 |
| L22AP | *Prod | L22AP | . | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L22CP | *Prod | L22CP | . | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L220P | *Prod | L220P | . | . | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L223P | *Prod | L223P | . | . | IFL | 10 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L229P | *Prod | L229P | . | . | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 |
| L246C | TheUsrs | L246C | . | . | IFL | 40 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |
| L25AP | *Prod | L25AP | . | . | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 |

Look for “Interesting configurations”

- Large relative shares / absolute shares
- CPU Counts, matching shares (100 Rel / vcpu)
- CPU Type (IFL, CP)
- Virtual machine storage sizes (too large?, largest?)

User Configuration: ESAUSRC

Report: ESAUSRC

User

Velocity SofMAP 4.3.0

| UserID | ClassID | Account Code | CPU Type | <-----SHARE-----> | | | | <---CPU <Count> | | <Storage> | | |
|--------|---------|--------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----|------|-----------------|--------|-----------|------|------|
| | | | | <Normal> Rel | <--MAX--> Abs | Typ | Shre | Lim -it | Def On | Dflt | Max | |
| L10AC | TheUsrs | L10AC | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 4.0G | 4.0G |
| L10DU | *Util | L10DU | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 6.0G | 6.0G |
| L20EP | *Prod | L20EP | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 4.0G | 4.0G |
| L20FP | *Prod | L20FP | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 4.0G | 4.0G |
| L21EP | *Prod | L21EP | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 10G | 10G |
| L211P | *Prod | L211P | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 | 4.0G | 4.0G |
| L212P | *Prod | L212P | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 | 4.0G | 4.0G |
| L214P | *Prod | L214P | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 | 2.0G | 2.0G |
| L217P | *Prod | L217P | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 | 8.0G | 8.0G |
| L218P | *Prod | L218P | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 | 7.5G | 7.5G |
| L22AP | *Prod | L22AP | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 6.0G | 6.0G |
| L22CP | *Prod | L22CP | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 8.0G | 8.0G |
| L220P | *Prod | L220P | IFL | 200 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 21G | 21G |
| L223P | *Prod | L223P | IFL | 10 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 2.0G | 2.0G |
| L229P | *Prod | L229P | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 | 2.0G | 2.0G |
| L23CP | *Prod | L23CP | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 | 6.0G | 6.0G |
| L24BP | *Prod | L24BP | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 2 | 2 | 27G | 27G |
| L241C | TheUsrs | L241C | IFL | 100 | . | . | . | . | 1 | 1 | 2.0G | 2.0G |

Look for “Interesting configurations”

- Virtual machine storage sizes (too large?, largest?)

LPAR Configuration: ESALPARS

Report: ESALPARgical Partition Summary Velocity Software Co
Monitor initial/30/17 at 02:00:00 on 2827 serial 0168A7 First record analyze

| Time | <--Co <-----Logical Partition-----> | | <-Assigned Shares-----> | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|------------|------|---------|
| | Phys CPUs | Name | Nbr | Virt CPUs | Type | <%Assigned> | | <---LPAR--> | | <VCPU Pct> | | Cap-ped |
| | | | | | | Total | Ovhd | Weight | Pct | /SYS | /CPU | |
| 02:01:00 | 10 | Totals: | 00 | 12 | CP | 117.1 | 1.2 | 1400 | 100 | | | |
| | | Totals: | 00 | 7 | IFL | 388.2 | 1.3 | 135 | 100 | | | |
| | | VML2 | 01 | 4 | IFL | 237.5 | 0.2 | 75 | 55.6 | 13.9 | 55.6 | No |
| | | VML4 | 03 | 3 | IFL | 150.7 | 1.1 | 60 | 44.4 | 14.8 | 59.3 | No |
| | | CER1 | 17 | 2 | CP | 7.6 | 0.1 | 60 | 4.3 | 2.14 | 4.28 | No |
| | | CER1 | 17 | 1 | ZIP | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100 | 4.5 | 4.48 | 4.48 | No |
| | | CER2 | 18 | 1 | ZIP | 0 | 0 | 100 | 4.5 | 4.48 | 4.48 | No |
| | | ESN2 | 1A | 2 | CP | 15.1 | 0.2 | 131 | 9.4 | 4.68 | 9.36 | No |
| | | ESN2 | 1A | 1 | ZIP | 0.2 | 0.0 | 435 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 | No |
| | | ICF21 | 1E | 1 | ICF | 99.9 | 0.0 | Ded | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | No |
| | | ICF22 | 0D | 1 | ICF | 100.0 | 0.0 | Ded | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | No |
| | | ICF23 | 1F | 1 | ICF | 99.9 | 0.0 | Ded | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | No |
| | | PRD1 | 11 | 1 | ZIP | 0 | 0 | 530 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 23.8 | No |
| | | PRD2 | 13 | 2 | CP | 54.9 | 0.4 | 296 | 21.1 | 10.6 | 21.1 | No |
| | | PRD2 | 13 | 1 | ZIP | 0.2 | 0.0 | 550 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | No |
| | | PRD4 | 1C | 2 | CP | 20.5 | 0.3 | 347 | 24.8 | 12.4 | 24.8 | No |
| | | PRD4 | 1C | 1 | ZIP | 2.3 | 0.1 | 265 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | No |
| | | SP02 | 16 | 2 | CP | 4.7 | 0.1 | 50 | 3.6 | 1.79 | 3.57 | Yes |

Look for “Shared processors”

- First LPAR is “us”, z/vm where data collected (VML2)
- Check weights (55% of the IFLs)

Totals by Procee:

```
<-----CPU---Shared Processor busy->
Type Count Ded Total Logical Ovhd Mgmt
-----
CP      2    0 120.0    115.9   1.2   2.9
IFL   4   0 390.0   386.8 1.3 1.8
ICF     3    3   0.2      0     0   0.2
ZIIP    1    0   4.7     4.4   0.1   0.2
```

Look for “Shared processors”

- IFLs at 98%.... No significant overheads

Consumers within LPAR: ESAUSP2

```
Report: ESAUSP2      User Resource Rate
-----
      <---CPU time--> <----Main Stora
UserID <(Percent)> T:V <Resident> Lock
/Class Total  Virt Rat Totl Activ -ed
-----
02:01:00 227.9 214.2 1.1 45M 45.0M 103
***User Class Analysis***
Servers 0.00 0.00 2.5 1096 337.0 0
Velocity 0.79 0.74 1.1 9792 5321 0
*Prod 211.0 198.4 1.1 42M 41.7M 0
*Util 0.76 0.72 1.1 210K 210K 0
TheUsrs 15.15 14.30 1.1 3.0M 3033K 95
***Top User Analysis***
L220P 35.30 32.08 1.1 5.5M 5499K 0
L21EP 30.37 26.46 1.1 2.6M 2616K 0
L22AP 19.67 19.19 1.0 1.6M 1572K 0
L258P 19.12 18.10 1.1 3.1M 3145K 0
L218P 17.08 16.99 1.0 2.0M 1962K 0
L23CP 13.94 13.61 1.0 1.6M 1572K 0
L212P 13.09 11.24 1.2 1.0M 1046K 0
L245P 12.46 12.11 1.0 1.0M 1046K 0
L217P 9.93 9.83 1.0 2.1M 2085K 0
L22CP 9.09 8.80 1.0 2.1M 2095K 0
```

Look for consumers, in percent of cpu

- **By class (*PROD)**
- **Abusive servers (none)?**
- **Correct per expected? Not a performance question**

Report: ESALNXP LINUX HOST Process Statistics Report

| node/ Name | <-Process Ident-> | | | <-----CPU Percents-----> | | | | | <Stg (k)> | |
|---------------|-------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|------|
| | ID | PPID | GRP | Tot | sys | user | syst | usrt | Size | RSS |
| L220P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.0 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 1.44 | 1.31 | 838K | 38K |
| init | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.44 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 2 | 1 |
| ksoftirq | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ksoftirq | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| kswapd0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| snmpd | 19039 | 1 | 19038 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 7 |
| oracle | 25314 | 1 | 25314 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 10K | 10 |
| oracle | 25324 | 1 | 25324 | 1.42 | 0.08 | 1.34 | 0 | 0 | 10K | 193 |
| oracle | 25332 | 1 | 25332 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 10K | 449 |
| oracle | 25334 | 1 | 25334 | 2.62 | 0.12 | 2.49 | 0 | 0 | 10K | 4180 |
| oracle | 25340 | 1 | 25340 | 1.45 | 0.03 | 1.42 | 0 | 0 | 10K | 326 |
| java | 25720 | 25656 | 25656 | 3.14 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 1.05 | 1.15 | 992 | 256 |
| bpbkar | 43071 | 1 | 7980 | 7.76 | 7.68 | 0.08 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 |
| oracle | 44612 | 1 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 | 10K | 31 |
| oracle | 49955 | 1 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 10K | 166 |
| oracle | 50005 | | | | | | | | | |
| oracle | 50076 | | | | | | | | | |
| oracle | 50082 | | | | | | | | | |
| oracle | 50231 | | | | | | | | | |
| oracle | 50253 | | | | | | | | | |

Look for processes within Linux, in percent of CPU

- **By relevant server (L220P)**
- **Correct? Relevant? Cron? Agents?**
- Oracle Workload

Storage Utilization: ESASTR1

Report: ESASTR1 Mais Velocity Software Corporate ZMAP 4.

```
-----
Users <-----MegaBytes-----
Time      Loggd System    Frame <Available>    User    NSS/DCSS <-AddSpace>    VDISK <MDC>
          On Storage Table <2gb >2gb    Resdnt    Resident    System User    Rsdnt Rsdnt
-----
02:01:00    57    200704    1568    1877 16238 175764            40    1526      0    3261    126
02:02:00    57    200704    1568    1877 16239 175764            40    1526      0    3261    125
02:03:00    57    200704    1568    1877 16243 175764            40    1526      0    3261    121
02:04:00    57    200704    1568    1877 16249 175764            40    1526      0    3261    115
02:05:00    57    200704    1568    1877 16236 175764            40    1526      0    3261    127
02:06:00    57    200704    1568    1877 16242 175764            40    1526      0    3261    122
```

Total storage analysis (in pages)

- System Storage (200GB)
- MDC Good
- User resident should be large percent of “System Storage”
- VDISK Good
- (no paging)

CPU utilization?

- Understand Oracle requirements?
- Get more IFLs....

Analysis is NOT rocket science

- **Few screens needed for most problems**

Send your problems to barton@VelocitySoftware.com